TED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Mattson et al.

Serial No.:

10/797,837

Group Art Unit 3751

Examiner NGUYEN, TUAN N

Filed:

03/10/2004

For: Antimicrobial chemical dispensing faceplate

Attorney Docket RM449g

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## Response to Non-Final Office Action

Dear Sir,

Examiner states that, "Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP 818.03(a)). Accordingly claims 16,17 and 20-25 are withdrawn from further considerations." It was not applicant's intent to not bring out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement. Applicants Attorney replied to the restriction without showing applicant's the reply.

Applicants have revoked current Power Of Attorney.

Examiner states that the disclosure statement filed 10/19/04 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2). Applicants have attached DE19961049 AI to Laing, Karsten that was on the IDS. The Japanese patent number was an error. The IDS had incorrect page numbers and there is no page 14. Applicant's apologizes for examiners inconvenience and appreciates the disclosures the examiner initialed.

Please delete current abstract and replace with the following amended abstract:

A faceplate having a chemical dispenser that fits into a suction housing.